

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY**

**IN RE: JOHNSON & JOHNSON TALCUM
POWDER PRODUCTS MARKETING, SALES
PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY
LITIGATION**

TAMARA JONES and SHAYNE JONES,

Plaintiff,

V.

**JOHNSON & JOHNSON, and JOHNSON &
JOHNSON CONSUMER INC., et al.**

Defendants.

MDL No. 2738 (FLW) (LHG)
JUDGE FRED A. L. WOLFSON
MAG. JUDGE LOIS H. GOODMAN

COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

Civil Action No: 23-cv-8318

DIRECT FILED ACTION

SHORT FORM COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

The Plaintiff named below files this *Short Form Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial* against Defendants named below by and through the undersigned counsel. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations contained in *Plaintiffs' Master Long Form Complaint in In re: Talcum Powder Products Marketing, Sales Practices, and Products Liability Litigation*, MDL No. 2738 in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey. Plaintiff files this Short Form Complaint as permitted by Case Management Order No. 1 of this Court.

In addition to those causes of action contained in *Plaintiffs' Master Long Form Complaint*, where certain claims require specific pleadings and/or amendments, Plaintiff(s) shall add and include them herein.

IDENTIFICATION OF PARTIES

Identification of Plaintiff(s)

1. Name of individual injured due to the use of talcum powder product(s): Tamara Jones.

4. As a result of using talcum powder products, Plaintiff suffered personal and economic injur(ies) that are alleged to have been caused by the use of the products identified in Paragraph 16 below, but not limited to, the following:

other: _____

5. Plaintiff is suing the following Defendants (please check all that apply)¹:

√ Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc.

2

13. Plaintiff used the following talcum powder products:

✓ Johnson & Johnson's Baby Powder

☐ Shower to Shower

CAUSES OF ACTION

14. Plaintiff hereby adopts and incorporates by reference the *Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand* as if fully set forth herein.

15. The following claims and allegations asserted in the *Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand* are herein adopted by reference by Plaintiff(s):

☐ Count I: Products Liability – Strict Liability – Failure to Warn (Against Imerys Talc)

✓ Count II: Products Liability – Strict Liability – Failure to Warn (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)

☐ Count III: Products Liability – Strict Liability – Defective Manufacturer and Design (Against Imerys Talc)

✓ Count IV: Products Liability – Defective Manufacturer and Design (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)

✓ Count V: Breach of Express Warranties (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)

✓ Count VI: Breach of Implied Warranty of Merchantability (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)

✓ Count VII: Breach of Implied Warranty of Fitness for a Particular Purpose (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)

☐ Count VIII: Negligence (Against Imerys Talc)

✓ Count IX: Negligence (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)

☐ Count X: Negligence (Against PCPC)

✓ Count XI: Negligence Misrepresentation (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)

✓ Count XII: Fraud (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)

☐ Count XIII: Fraud (Against PCPC)

- ✓ Count XIV: Violation of State Consumer Protection Laws of the State of Indiana (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants).
- ☐ Count XV: Fraudulent Concealment (Against Imerys Talc)
- ✓ Count XVI: Fraudulent Concealment (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
- ☐ Count XVII: Fraudulent Concealment (Against PCPC)
- ✓ Count XVIII: Civil Conspiracy (Against All Defendants)
- ✓ Count XIX: Loss of Consortium (Against All Defendants)
- ✓ Count XX: Punitive Damages (Against All Defendants)
- ✓ Count XXI: Discovery Rule and Tolling (Against All Defendants)
- ☐ Count XXII: Wrongful Death (Against All Defendants)
- ☐ Count XXIII: Survival Action (Against All Defendants)
- ☐ Furthermore, Plaintiff(s) assert the following additional theories and/or State Causes of Action against Defendant(s) identified in Paragraph nine (9) above. If Plaintiff(s) includes additional theories of recovery, to the extent they require specificity in pleadings, the specific facts and allegations supporting these theories must be pled by Plaintiff(s) in a manner complying with the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief and judgment against Defendants of compensatory damages, punitive damages, interest, costs of suit, and such further relief as the Court deems equitable and just, and as set forth in the Master Long Form Complaint as appropriate.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury as to all claims in this action.

Dated: August 23, 2023

Respectfully submitted:



MICHAEL GOETZ, ESQUIRE
Florida Bar No. 963984
Morgan & Morgan
Complex Litigation Group
One Tampa City Center, 7th Floor
201 N. Franklin Street
Tampa, Florida 33602
Telephone (813) 223-5505
Facsimile (813) 223-5402
E-Mail: MGoetz@forthepeople.com
Counsel for Plaintiff